Guide for Review of	RHED Procurement
Name of Program Participant:	
Grant Number(s):	NOFA Date (s):
Date of Amendment(s):	Date Funds Obligated:
Staff Consulted:	
Name(s) of	Date:
Reviewer(s):	

NOTE: All questions that address requirements contain the citation for the source of the requirement (statute, regulation, NOFA, or grant agreement). If the requirement is not met, HUD must make a finding of noncompliance. All other questions (questions that do not contain the citation for the requirement) do not address requirements, but are included to assist the reviewer in understanding the participant's program more fully and/or to identify issues that, if not properly addressed, could result in deficient performance. Negative conclusions to these questions may result in a "concern" being raised, but not a "**finding**."

<u>Instructions</u>: This Exhibit is designed to assess the RHED program participant's compliance with applicable procurement requirements. It is divided into eight sections: Sample Selection; Small Purchases; Competitive Sealed Bids; Competitive Proposals; Noncompetitive Proposals; General Provisions/Procedures; Bonding and Insurance; and Contracting with Small and Minority Firms, Women's Business Enterprises and Labor Surplus Area Firms. If the program participant's accounting system includes information on contracts, purchase orders, etc., related to the RHED program, such a system can be a convenient starting point for selecting procurement transactions to review, following the sampling guidance in Section 16-3 of the introduction to this Chapter. RHED program participants are required to comply with the uniform administrative requirements at 24 CFR Parts 84 and 85 pursuant to the executed grant agreements.

A. SAMPLE SELECTION

1.

Provide the following information for the selected sample of procurement transactions (adding more lines as needed):

Name of Contractor/Purpose	Date of Purchase	Amount Budgeted	Amount Obligated	Amount Expended	Procurement Method*
1.					
2.					
3.					
4.					
5.					

16-1 09/2005

Provide the following information for the selected sample of procurement transactions (adding more lines as needed): Date of Name of Amount Amount Amount **Procurement** Contractor/Purpose Method* **Purchase Budgeted Obligated** Expended 7. 8. 9.

B. SMALL PURCHASES

2.

10.

a. Can the program participant document receipt of an adequate number of		
price or rate quotations from qualified sources for procurements of \$100,000	Yes	No
or less?		
[Grant Agreement, Article I, Section E; 24 CFR 84.44(e) or 24 CFR		
85.36(d)(1)]		
Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
b. Describe types of purchases and price or rate quotes received.		
Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

^{*} Use the following numbers for these methods of procurement [see 24 CFR 84.40 and 24 CFR 85.36]: (1) Small Purchase; (2) Sealed Bids; (3) Competitive Proposals; and (4) Noncompetitive Proposals.

C. COMPETITIVE SEALED BIDS

Summarize the program participant's formal, competitive sealed bid process.		
Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
Does the program participant receive at least two or more responsible bids for each procurement transaction?		
[Grant Agreement, Article I, Section E; 24 CFR 85.36(d)(2)(i)(B) or 24 CFR 84.44]	Yes	No
Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
For the transactions reviewed, do the procurements lend themselves to firm,		
fixed price contracts and can selections of successful bidders be made principally on the basis of price?	Yes	No
[Grant Agreement, Article I, Section E; 24 CFR 85.36(d)(2)(i)(C) or 24 CFR		
84.44(c)] Describe Basis for Conclusion:	<u> </u>	
Describe dasis for Conclusion:		

16-3 09/2005

6.			
	Does the program participant advertise Invitations For Bids (IFBs) in a		
	publication (or publications) of general circulation?	Yes	No
	[Grant Agreement, Article I, Section E; 24 CFR 85.36(d)(2)(ii)(A)]		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
7.			
	For the transactions reviewed, do the IFBs include specifications and pertinent		
	attachments that clearly define the items or services needed in order for the	Yes	No
	bidders to properly respond?	163	140
	Grant Agreement, Article I, Section E; 24 CFR 85.35(d)(2)(ii)(B) or 24 CFR		
	84.44(a)(3)(i)]		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion.		
8.			
	For the transactions reviewed, were all bids opened publicly at the times and		
	places stated in the IFBs?	Yes	No
	Grant Agreement, Article I, Section E; 24 CFR 85.36(d)(2)(ii)(C)]	163	140
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
	Describe Busis for Concrusion.		

9.			
	Are contracts awarded to the lowest responsive and responsible bidders?		
	[Grant Agreement, Article I, Section E; 24 CFR 85.36(d)(2)(ii)(D) or 24 CFR		
		Yes	No
	84.44(d)]		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
D	COMPETITIVE DRODOCALC		
	<u>COMPETITIVE PROPOSALS</u> .		
10.			
	Is this progurament method used only when conditions are not appropriate for		$\overline{}$
	Is this procurement method used only when conditions are not appropriate for		
	the use of formal advertising?	Yes	No
	[Grant Agreement, Article I, Section E; 24 CFR 85.36(d)(3)]		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
11			
11.			
	For the transactions reviewed, do the Request for Proposals (RFPs) clearly and		
	accurately state the technical requirements for the goods or services to be	Yes	N.
	procured?	res	No
	μ l		
	[Grant Agreement, Article I, Section E; 24 CFR 85.36(d)(3) or 24 CFR		
	84.44(a)(3)(i)]		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

16-5 09/2005

12.			
	Are proposals solicited from an adequate number of qualified sources,		
	consistent with the nature and requirements of the procurements?	Yes	No
	[Grant Agreement, Article I, Section E; 24 CFR 85.36(d)(3)(ii) or 24 CFR		
	84.43]		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
13.			
	Does the program participant publicize RFPs and honor reasonable requests by		
	parties to compete to the maximum extent practicable?	Yes	No.
	[Grant Agreement, Article I, Section E; 24 CFR 85.36(d)(3)(i) or 24 CFR 84.43]	res	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
	Describe Busis for Concrusion.		
14.			
	Do the RFPs reviewed identify all significant evaluation factors, including price		
	or cost where required, and their relative importance?	<u></u>	
	[Grant Agreement, Article I, Section E; 24 CFR 85.36(d)(3)(i) or 24 CFR 84.45]	Yes	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion.		
15.			
13.			
	Does the program participant:		
	a. conduct technical evaluations of submitted proposals?		Ш
	[Grant Agreement, Article I, Section E; 24 CFR 85.36(d)(3)(iii) or 24 CFR	Yes	No
	[84.40]		
	1 1 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
	b. determine responsible offerors from such evaluations?	Ш	Ш
	[Grant Agreement, Article I, Section E; 24 CFR 85.36(d)(3)(iii) or 24 CFR	Yes	No
	[84.40]		

6509.2 REV-5

c. as necessary, conduct negotiations, written or oral, for final contract award [Grant Agreement, Article I, Section E; 24 CFR 85.36(d)(3)(iii) or 24 CFR 84.40]	? Yes	No
d. make awards to the most responsive and responsible offerors whose		
proposals will be most advantageous to the participant after price and other	Yes	No
factors are considered?	165	140
[Grant Agreement, Article I, Section E; 24 CFR 85.36(d)(3)(iv) or 24 CFR		
84.40]		
Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
16. If architectural/engineering professional services are needed by the program \(\Gamma \)		
participant, are such proposals evaluated with respect to factors other than		
	es No	N/A
price and can the program participant document the basis for negotiations of		
fair and reasonable compensation?		
[Grant Agreement, Article I, Section E; 24 CFR 85.36(f) or 24 CFR 84.40] Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
Describe Basis for Conclusion.		
17		
For procurement of architectural and engineering professional services, does the		
program participant maintain a list of qualified offerors who can respond to its RFPs?	Yes	No
[Grant Agreement, Article I, Section E; 24 CFR 85.36(d)(3)(v) or 24 CFR 84.40]		
Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

16-7 09/2005

E. NONCOMPETITIVE PROPOSALS [24 CFR 85.36(d)(4)]

18.			
If the program participant procured services noncompetitively, can it show that other methods of procurement (small purchases, sealed bids or competitive proposals) were infeasible because: • the items were available from a single source; OR • a public exigency or emergency was of such urgency to not permit use of competitive solicitation, after solicitation of a number of sources; OR • competition is determined inadequate; OR • approval was granted by HUD? [Grant Agreement, Article I, Section E; 24 CFR 85.36(d) (4) or 24 CFR 84.46(b)] Describe Basis for Conclusion:	Yes	No	N/A
F. <u>GENERAL PROVISIONS/PROCEDURES</u> 19.			
Is the program participant ensuring that its awards are not made to any part was excluded, disqualified, or otherwise ineligible (e.g., suspension, debard or limited denial of participation) for Federal procurement and nonprocured programs? [Grant Agreement, Article I, Section E; 24 CFR 24, Subparts C and J, Executive Corder 13224, implemented at 31 CFR Parts 595 through 597] Describe Basis for Conclusion:	nent nent	Yes	No
20.			
For the sample of files reviewed, is there a rationale documenting: a. the selection of the method of procurement? [Grant Agreement, Article I, Section E; 24 CFR 85.36(b)(9) or 24 CFR 84.	46]	Yes	No
b. the selection of contract type? [Grant Agreement, Article I, Section E; 24 CFR 85.36(b)(9) or 24 CFR 84.	48]	Yes	No

	c. contractor selection or rejection?		
	Grant Agreement, Article I, Section E, 24 CFR 85.36(b)(9) or 24 CFR	Yes	No
	84.46(a)]	163	140
	d. the basis for the cost or price of the contract?		
	Grant Agreement, Article I, Section E; 24 CFR 85.36(b)(9) or 24 CFR		
	84.46(c)]	Yes	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
	Describe dasis for Conclusion.		
21.			
	Is contract pricing always based on a method other than the "cost-plus-a-		
	percentage-of-cost" method?	Yes	No
	Grant Agreement, Article I, Section E; 24 CFR 85.36(f)(4) or 24 CFR 84.44I	165	NO
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
	Describe Dasis for Conclusion.		
22.			
	Are procurement procedures in place to ensure that:		
	a. purchase orders and contracts are signed by an authorized program official?		
	[Grant Agreement, Article I, Section E; 24 CFR 85.36 or 24 CFR 84.40]		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:	Yes	No
	Describe dasis for Conclusion:		

16-9 09/2005

b. items delivered and paid for are consistent with the items contained in the		
corresponding purchase order and/or contract?	Yes	No
[Grant Agreement, Article I, Section E; 24 CFR 85.36 or 24 CFR 84.40]		
Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
c. timely payment of vendors occurs, once requested, when orders have been		
delivered, inspected, accepted, and payment to the vendor has been	Yes	No
approved?	103	110
[Grant Agreement, Article I, Section E; 24 CFR 85.36 or 24 CFR 84.40]		
Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
d. a cost or price analysis is performed in connection with every procurement		
action, including contract modifications?	Yes	No
[Grant Agreement, Article I, Section E; 24 CFR 85.36 or 24 CFR 84.45]		
Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
a profit is negotiated as a separate element of price where price competition is		
e. profit is negotiated as a separate element of price where price competition is lacking or a cost analysis is performed?		
lacking or a cost analysis is performed?	Yes	No
lacking or a cost analysis is performed? [Grant Agreement, Article I, Section E; 24 CFR 85.36 or 24 CFR 84.44(c)]	Yes	No
lacking or a cost analysis is performed?	Yes	No
lacking or a cost analysis is performed? [Grant Agreement, Article I, Section E; 24 CFR 85.36 or 24 CFR 84.44(c)]	Yes	No
lacking or a cost analysis is performed? [Grant Agreement, Article I, Section E; 24 CFR 85.36 or 24 CFR 84.44(c)]	Yes	No
lacking or a cost analysis is performed? [Grant Agreement, Article I, Section E; 24 CFR 85.36 or 24 CFR 84.44(c)]	Yes	No
lacking or a cost analysis is performed? [Grant Agreement, Article I, Section E; 24 CFR 85.36 or 24 CFR 84.44(c)]	Yes	No
lacking or a cost analysis is performed? [Grant Agreement, Article I, Section E; 24 CFR 85.36 or 24 CFR 84.44(c)]	Yes	No

23.			
	Are the contract provisions listed in the RHED Grant Agreement appropriately included in the RHED contracts?	Yes	No
	[Grant Agreement, Article I, Section E; 24 CFR 85.36(i) or 24 CFR 84.44(b)] Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
24.			
	Does the program participant have a written code of conduct governing		
	employees, officers or agents engaged in the award and administration of contracts supported by grant funds? [Grant Agreement, Article I, Section E; 24 CFR 85.36(b)(3) or 24 CFR 84.42]	Yes	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
25.			
	Can the program participant document a system of contract administration for determining the adequacy of contractors' performance? [Grant Agreement, Article I, Section E; 24 CFR 85.36(b)(2) or 24 CFR 84.51]	Yes	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

16-11 09/2005

26.					
	Can the program participant show that its project sponsors or subrecipients are required to follow applicable procurement policies and procedures in the administration of their contracts and purchase orders? [Grant Agreement, Article I, Section E; 24 CFR 85.36(b)(2) or 24 CFR 84.45 and 84.48(e)]	Yes	No		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:				
27.	 If the program participant uses prequalified lists, are such lists: current, developed through an open solicitation process without overly restrictive criteria, and include an adequate number of qualified sources? [Grant Agreement, Article I, Section E; 24 CFR 85.36 or 24 CFR 84.43] Describe Basis for Conclusion: 	Yes	No		
G. 28.	BONDING AND INSURANCE				
	If contracts have been awarded for construction or facility improvements under the				
	program(s), does the program participant: a. follow its own requirements relating to bid guarantees, performance bonds and payment bonds for construction contracts or subcontracts valued at or below \$100,000? [Contract of the Contract o	No	N/A		
	[Grant Agreement, Article I, Section E; 24 CFR 85.36(h)] Describe Basis for Conclusion:				

	b. meet the minimum Federal requirements for bid guarantees,						
	performance bonds and payment bonds for construction contracts or	Yes	No	N/A			
	subcontracts valued above \$100,000?	165	NO	N/A			
	[Grant Agreement, Article I, Section E; 24 CFR 85.36(h)]						
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:						
Н.	CONTRACTING WITH SMALL AND MINORITY FIRMS, WOMEN'S B	USIN	NESS				
	ENTERPRISES AND LABOR SURPLUS AREA FIRMS						
29.							
	Is the program participant taking affirmative steps to use small, minority-owned and women-						
	owned businesses in RHED funded contracts?						
	[Grant Agreement, Article I, Section E; 24 CFR 84.44(b) or 24 CFR 85.36(h)]					
	Describe Basis for Conclusion						
30.							
	If the program participant is not taking the steps identified in Question 14 ab	ove. 1	ist th	e			
	affirmative actions it is taking to assure the use of small, minority-owned and						
	businesses when possible.						
	Grant Agreement, Article I, Section E; 24 CFR 84.44(b) or 24 CFR 85.36(h)]					
	Describe Basis for Conclusion	<u>/ J</u>					
	Describe Dusis for Conclusion						

16-13 09/2005